





FILE:

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section

101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office

identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unvarranted
investment of

AND THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF T

www.uscis.gov

DISCUSSION: The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center. The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) dismissed a subsequent appeal. The matter is now before the AAO on a motion to reopen or reconsider. The motion will be dismissed.

A motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions to establish that the decision was based on an incorrect application of law or Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) policy. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3). A motion to reopen must state the new facts to be provided and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(2).

On motion, counsel states that CIS must be more flexible and open-minded regarding the definition of religious occupation. He argues that the proffered position is that of a "missionary" and that missionary is an example of a religious occupation specified in the regulation, and that the proffered position requires three years of training in the music ministry. Counsel cites no precedent decisions in support of his motion and submits no supporting documentation.

As the petitioner failed to present new facts supported by documentary evidence in its motion to reopen, or to cite any precedent decisions in support of its motion to reconsider and does not argue that the previous decisions were based on an incorrect application of law or CIS policy, the petitioner's motion will be dismissed.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1361. Here, the petitioner has not sustained that burden. 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4) states that "[a] motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed." Accordingly, the motion will be dismissed, the proceedings will not be reopened, and the previous decisions of the director and the AAO will not be disturbed.

ORDER: The motion is dismissed.